



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 7213

Increase median shoulder width

Description: Increase median shoulder width

Prior Condition: Median shoulder with unspecified width

Category: Shoulder treatments

Study: [NCHRP Report 794: Median Cross-Section Design for Rural Divided Highways, Graham et al., 2014](#)

Star Quality Rating:



[\[View score details\]](#)

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)

Value: 0.69

Adjusted Standard Error:

Unadjusted Standard Error: 0.123

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)

Value: 31.03 (*This value indicates a **decrease** in crashes*)

Adjusted Standard Error:

Unadjusted Standard Error: 12.3

Applicability

Crash Type: Other

Crash Severity: All

Roadway Types: Principal Arterial Other Freeways and Expressways

Number of Lanes: 4

Road Division Type: Divided by Median

Speed Limit:

Area Type: Rural

Traffic Volume:

Time of Day: All

If countermeasure is intersection-based

Intersection Type:

Intersection Geometry:

Traffic Control:

Major Road Traffic Volume:

Minor Road Traffic Volume:

Development Details

Date Range of Data Used: 2000 to 2007

Municipality:

State: CA, MO, NC, OH, PA, WA

Country:	USA
Type of Methodology Used:	Regression cross-section
Sample Size Used:	

Other Details	
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Nov-01-2015
Comments:	This CMF applies to a one foot increase in median shoulder width for rollover crashes on four-lane freeways with a rigid barrier.

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.