



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 413

Widen sidewalks at intersections

Description:

Prior Condition: *No Prior Condition(s)*

Category: Pedestrians

Study: [Handbook of Road Safety Measures, Elvik, R. and Vaa, T., 2004](#)

--	--

Star Quality Rating:	8 Stars
----------------------	---------

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	
--	--

Value:	1.12
--------	------

Adjusted Standard Error:	1.26
--------------------------	------

Unadjusted Standard Error:	
----------------------------	--

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)	
-------------------------------------	--

Value:	-12 (This value indicates an increase in crashes)
--------	--

Adjusted Standard Error:	126
--------------------------	-----

Unadjusted Standard Error:	
----------------------------	--

Applicability

Crash Type:	All
Crash Severity:	A (serious injury),B (minor injury),C (possible injury)
Roadway Types:	Not specified
Number of Lanes:	
Road Division Type:	
Speed Limit:	
Area Type:	Not specified
Traffic Volume:	
Time of Day:	

If countermeasure is intersection-based

Intersection Type:	Roadway/roadway (not interchange related)
Intersection Geometry:	Not specified
Traffic Control:	Not specified
Major Road Traffic Volume:	
Minor Road Traffic Volume:	

Development Details

Date Range of Data Used:	
Municipality:	
State:	
Country:	
Type of Methodology Used:	9
Sample Size Used:	

Other Details

Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Dec-01-2009
Comments:	

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.