



CMF / CRF Details

CMF ID: 9309

Conversion of intersection into single-lane roundabout

Description: Conversion of intersection into single-lane roundabout

Prior Condition: signalized, stop-controlled, yield-controlled and non-controlled intersections

Category: Intersection geometry

Study: [Safe roundabouts for cyclists, Jensen, S. U., 2017](#)

Star Quality Rating:	
<input type="text" value="2 Stars"/>	[View score details]

Crash Modification Factor (CMF)	
Value:	2.24
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	9.084

Crash Reduction Factor (CRF)	
Value:	-124 (This value indicates an increase in crashes)
Adjusted Standard Error:	
Unadjusted Standard Error:	908.4

Applicability

Crash Type: Vehicle/bicycle

Crash Severity: All

Roadway Types: Not specified

Number of Lanes:

Road Division Type: All

Speed Limit: 40km/h to 130km/h

Area Type: Urban

Traffic Volume:

Time of Day: All

If countermeasure is intersection-based

Intersection Type: Roadway/roadway (not interchange related)

Intersection Geometry: No values chosen.

Traffic Control: Not specified

Major Road Traffic Volume:

Minor Road Traffic Volume:

Development Details

Date Range of Data Used:

Municipality:

State:

Country: Denmark

Type of Methodology Used: 1

Sample Size Used:	
Other Details	
Included in Highway Safety Manual?	No
Date Added to Clearinghouse:	Jun-17-2018
Comments:	Central island height=0 to 1.9mCentral island diameter=40m-60m

This site is funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration and maintained by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center

The information contained in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse. The information contained in the CMF Clearinghouse does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation, nor is it a substitute for sound engineering judgment.